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Background

• Cambridgeshire & Peterborough Devolution Deal

“Delivering substantial economic growth – economic output will increase by nearly 100% over the next 25 years. Underpinned by a strong economic and productivity plan GVA will increase from £22bn to over £40bn”

• The £22bn refers to the figure of £22,010 published by ONS for 2014 (the baseline year of the deal).

• Gross value added (GVA) is a measure of the increase in the value of the economy due to the production of goods and services.
Background

• Within the CPIER, the commentary on the deal was “To double an economy over twenty-five years requires an average annual growth rate of 2.81%. Historically, since 1998, the local economy has grown at around 2.5%. Viewed in this light, it is a “stretch target” – it requires the area going beyond what it has before”

• Whilst 2.5% is quoted in the CPIER as a base line there is also additional commentary on past trends being difficult to reproduce on into the future. An alternate baseline of 1.7% from the East of England Economic Forecasting model can be used to illustrate these ‘future challenges’

• The resulting trajectories for performance is shown overleaf.
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How do we understand the skill’s strategy contribution?
Positive link between skills and productivity

- In economic terms, productivity is simply the level of output per unit of input. Labour productivity, therefore, is defined as the quantity of goods and services produced per unit of labour input, for example, per hour worked or per filled job.

Positive link between skills and growth

Figure 1: The positive link between UK city skill levels and economic growth
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Value of learning new skills – personal level

- Labour Force Survey 2019, ONS
Value of learning new skills – personal level

The economic value of key intermediate qualifications: estimating the returns and lifetime productivity gains to GCSEs, A levels and apprenticeships, 2014

A Word about Scheme Efficiency

• What Works Centre for Local Economic Growth

• We define ‘employment training’ programmes as:
  - including training targeted at the over 18;
  - including day-release and short courses, and retraining;

• 1,000+ studies of which 71 met the ‘minimum’ definition for acceptable evidence.
### Evaluation of Effectiveness

Not every policy is successful!

#### Table 2: Employment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Result</th>
<th>No of studies</th>
<th>Study reference numbers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zero</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>115, 123, 130, 147, 150, 152, 223, 226, 231, 237, 238, 245.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>120, 128, 228.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Table 3: Wages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Result</th>
<th>No of studies</th>
<th>Study reference numbers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>80, 89, 125, 137, 138, 149, 209, 249, 250, 251, 256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zero</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>120, 152, 223, 237, 245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>99, 134, 238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>96, 128</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Skills Escalator – Level of Success?

• *Skills Escalator* pilot – which aimed to support working people on low household incomes in West London to gain better-paid and more stable employment through a programme of personalized advice and skills acquisition.

  - 361 people
  - three quarters women aged 30 – 50. (12% no qualifications)
  - otherwise a fairly typical cohort

• 1/5 outcome rate for increased earnings (six / seven months on the programme)
• ‘Formal Training’ increased chance of pay progression from 1/10 to 1/3
• Progression more common for those who started with higher qualifications
• Most valuable progression was ‘moving employers’.
• Key finding – match group (the control) suffered higher rate of job losses / instability.
• Every £1 spent generated £3.41 of public good.
Single Year Impact

GVA Additionality Target: 2.81% annual increase (CPIER, 2018)
Per Year Impact

GVA Additionality Target: 2.81% annual increase (CPIER, 2018)
Conclusions

• Long term, cumulative impact is important (compared to shorter term initiatives).

• Generational scale investment will impact GVA

• Information to be disseminated
  - Earnings benefits from learning and qualifications
  - Effectiveness v attrition within scheme design