

CAMBRIDGESHIRE AND PETERBOROUGH COMBINED AUTHORITY

Internal Audit Progress Report

27 January 2023

This report is solely for the use of the persons to whom it is addressed. To the fullest extent permitted by law, RSM UK Risk Assurance Services LLP will accept no responsibility or liability in respect of this report to any other party.



CONTENTS

| 1 | Key messages | 3 |
|-----|---|----|
| 2 | Reports | 4 |
| | endix A – Progress against the internal audit plan 2021/22 – remaining audit only | |
| Арр | endix B – Progress against the internal audit plan 2022/23 | 6 |
| | endix C – Other matters | |
| | endix C - Key performance indicators (KPIs) for 2022/23 delivery | 9 |
| | more information contact | 10 |

1 Key messages

The internal audit plan for 2022/23 was approved at the July 2022 meeting. This report provides an update on progress against that plan, the changes to the plan and summarises the results of our work to date.

Additional 2021/22 internal audit activity

We were asked by Officers to undertake an Analysis of Government Procurement Card Expenditure and Expenses. The draft report has been issued and the final report will be issued once we have discussed the latest draft report with Officers and responses to the action plan are received.

[To note]

2022/23 Internal Audit Delivery

Since the last meeting we have issued one final report from the 2022/23 internal audit plan - Risk Management.



We have also issued **one further draft report** from the 2022/23 internal audit plan (Affordable Housing Grant Programme). We are awaiting responses from management to the draft report and have been advised that the draft report will be discussed at the Executive on 24th January ahead of a formal response to the draft.

The fieldwork for the Core Control Framework and Net Zero Hub - Governance audits is in progress, and the final reports are expected to be presented to the next Audit & Governance Committee (AGC) meeting.

Remaining audits are due to be delivered in January and February 2023. The use of the Succession Planning audit budget (this audit is being deferred to 2023/24 as previously reported), has been discussed with management and the budget will be used to undertake the extended scope of the subsidiary governance review. Further details are included at Appendix C.

[To note]

2023/24 Planning



We have commenced the 2023/24 Internal Audit Planning process with management and we will be bringing our proposed Internal Audit Plan for 2023/24 to the March 2023 Audit Committee for approval.

[To note]

2 Reports

Summary of final reports being presented to this committee

We have issued one final report since the last meeting from the 2022/23 Internal Audit Plan.

| Assignment | Debrief Date | Opinion issued | Acti | Actions agreed | | |
|---|--|-------------------|------|----------------|---|--|
| | | | L | М | Н | |
| Risk Management (3.22/23) Our review found that the necessary updates to strengthen the risk management processes was still work in progress with areas such as the provision of training and the development of the risk appetite being planned for completion by the end of 2022. The control framework does still require further strengthening to ensure that all areas of risk can be consistently identified, managed, reviewed, and reported. | 27 October 2022 (last audited 2020/21) | Partial Assurance | 0 | 6 | 0 | |
| We noted that the updated Risk Management Strategy was yet to be approved, and through review, we found that the version that had been updated was one prior to the version agreed in 2020 and further updates were required including recognition that the Corporate and Portfolio risk registers are now amalgamated as one. We noted inconsistencies in the completeness of a sample of two Programme and two Portfolio risk registers that we reviewed including details about action owners and risk scores, found that improvements are required to ensure robust and regular review of Programme and Portfolio risks as well identifying the absence of the use of assurances within the risk registers. | | | | | | |
| Whilst we note some improvements and progress has been made since our last review in 2020/21, it is evident that further work is still required to ensure a fully robust and effective risk management framework is in place. | | | | | | |

Appendix A – Progress against the internal audit plan 2021/22 – remaining audit only

| Assignment | Timing / Status / Opinion issued | Ac | tions agre | ed | Target AGC | Actual AGC | |
|---|---|----|------------|----|-----------------|------------|--|
| | | L | М | н | Target AGC | Actual AGC | |
| Analysis of Government Procurement Card Expenditure and Expenses | DRAFT report issued 16 December 2021 | | | | TO BE CONFIRMED | | |
| | Revised DRAFT reports issued 12 May 2022 and 28 September 2022 | | | | | | |

Appendix B – Progress against the internal audit plan 2022/23

| Assignment | Timing / Status / Opinion issued | | tions agr | eed | Target AGC | Actual AGC |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|-----------|-----|----------------------------------|---------------|
| | | L | М | н | | |
| Data Protection – Deep Dive | FINAL - Advisory | 2 | 9 | 1 | January 2023 | December 2022 |
| Risk Management | Final – Partial Assurance | 0 | 6 | 0 | January 2023 | January 2023 |
| Affordable Housing Grant Programme | Draft report issued 12 January 2023 | | | | (January 2023) March 2023 | |
| Net Zero Hub - governance | Fieldwork in progress | | | | (January 2023) Now March 2023 | |
| Core Control Framework | Fieldwork in progress | | | | March 2023 | |
| IT Audit | 27January 2023 | | | | March / June 2023 | |
| Follow Up | 30 January 2023 | | | | March / June 2023 | |
| Subsidiary Companies – Deep Dives | 13 February 2023 | | | | June 2023 | |
| Governance | 20 February 2023 | | | | June 2023 | |
| Succession Planning* | n/a | | | | n/a | |

* please see Appendix C

Appendix C – Other matters

Changes to the audit plan

Since the last meeting there have been the following change to the 2022/23 internal audit plan:

| Audit/Area | Change Proposed |
|---------------------|---|
| Succession Planning | The Succession Planning audit was originally scheduled to take place in October 2022. We were initially requested by management to delay this until January 2023 due to ongoing work in this area, and asked to delay the audit to summer 2023, and it is to form part of the 2023/24 internal audit plan as discussed at the previous Committee. |
| 0 | New Change - We have since agreed with management to utilise the budget by expanding the coverage of the Subsidiary Companies – Deep Dive review to encompass coverage of both one Growth Company and one Property Company. |

The following changes were previously reported:

| Audit/Area | Change Proposed |
|------------------------------|--|
| Affordable Housing Programme | At the July Audit & Governance Committee, it was suggested that the proposed Affordable Housing Programme audit may overlap with other work being undertaken. We discussed with management the potential scope of the audit and whether it remained appropriate to be included within the plan, or whether it should be replaced with something else. We agreed that the audit should focus on the grant programme, and therefore there would be no overlap with the work being undertaken by the scrutiny committee. |
| IT Audit | A scoping meeting was held with the audit sponsor, at which we were asked to push the audit back due to the current transition period which includes IT changes. This audit will now take place in January 2023. |

RSM External reviews of quality

One of the key measures of quality is an independent third-party assessment and, as a firm we are required to conform to the requirements of the International Professional Practices Framework (IPPF) published by the Global IIA. Under the Standards, internal audit services are required to have an external quality assessment (EQA) every five years. The RSM UK Risk Assurance service line commissioned an external independent review of our internal audit services in 2021, to provide assurance as to whether our approach continues to meet the requirements.

The external review concluded that RSM 'generally conforms to the requirements of the IIA Standards' and that 'RSM IA also generally conforms with the other Professional Standards and the IIA Code of Ethics. There were no instances of non-conformance with any of the Professional Standards'. The rating of 'generally conforms' is the highest rating that can be achieved, in line with the IIA's EQA assessment model.

Information briefings and updates

We attach one client briefing in regard to our Procurement & Contract Management Newsletter & Technical Brief - January 2023

Appendix C - Key performance indicators (KPIs) for 2022/23 delivery

| De | | Quality | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|---|--|-------------------|--------|-------------|
| | Target | Actual | Notes (ref) | | Target | Actual | Notes (ref) |
| Audits commenced in line with original timescales following scoping | Yes | 83% | Succession Planning audit has been delayed | Conformance with PSIAS and IIA Standards | Yes | Yes | |
| Draft reports issued within 15 days of debrief meeting | 100% | 50% | One report was issued after 25 days due to auditor sickness. | Liaison with external audit to allow, where appropriate and required, the external auditor to place reliance on the work of internal audit | Yes | Yes | |
| Management responses received within 15 days of draft report | 100% | 0% | | Response time for all general enquiries for assistance | 2 working days | 100% | |
| Final report issued within 3 days of management response | 100% | 100% | | Response for emergencies and potential fraud | 1 working days | N/A | |
| Notes | | | | | | | |
| The above KPIs take into account ch | anges agi | eed by ma | inagement and the A | udit & Governance Committee duri | ing the year. | | |

Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Combined Authority Progress Report | 9

FOR MORE INFORMATION CONTACT

Daniel Harris, Head of Internal Audit Email: Daniel.Harris@rsmuk.com

Nick Fanning, Manager

Email: Nick.Fanning@rsmuk.com

rsmuk.com

The matters raised in this report are only those which came to our attention during the course of our review and are not necessarily a comprehensive statement of all the weaknesses that exist or all improvements that might be made. Actions for improvements should be assessed by you for their full impact. This report, or our work, should not be taken as a substitute for management's responsibilities for the application of sound commercial practices. We emphasise that the responsibility for a sound system of internal controls rests with management and our work should not be relied upon to identify all strengths and weaknesses that may exist. Neither should our work be relied upon to identify all circumstances of fraud and irregularity should there be any.

Our report is prepared solely for the confidential use of Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Combined Authority and solely for the purposes set out herein. This report should not therefore be regarded as suitable to be used or relied on by any other party wishing to acquire any rights from RSM UK Risk Assurance Services LLP for any purpose or in any context. Any third party which obtains access to this report or a copy and chooses to rely on it (or any part of it) will do so at its own risk. To the fullest extent permitted by law, RSM UK Risk Assurance Services LLP will accept no responsibility or liability in respect of this report to any other party and shall not be liable for any loss, damage or expense of whatsoever nature which is caused by any person's reliance on representations in this report.

This report is released to you on the basis that it shall not be copied, referred to or disclosed, in whole or in part (save as otherwise permitted by agreed written terms), without our prior written consent.



Procurement & Contract Management

Newsletter & Technical Brief

Jan 2023

Page 11 of 14

In this our first newsletter of 2023 we cover the key technical developments and news about public sector procurement and contract management in the UK.

In this edition:

1. New Procurement Bill reaches the House of Commons, but progress is taking longer than expected.

2. Government puts a good spin on the benefits of the new Procurement Bill, however the knives are out in the House of Commons and everything could change.

- 3. Disclosing details of other bidders: a balancing act of transparency vs commercial interests.
- 4. The 30 day time limit for procurement challenge is clear but when does the clock start ticking?
- 5. Oh what a tangled web we weave when we design to run roughshod over the rules.
- 6. Up coming webinars and training courses.

Please contact us for more information about the content of this newsletter or if you would like to attend our free webinars or paid-for training events.

Walter Akers Partner RSM UK Risk Assurance Services LLP walter.akers@rsmuk.com





Page 12 of 14

PROCUREMENT & CONTRACT MANAGEMENT NEWSI FTTER & TECHNICAL BRIEF, IAN 2023

Page 2

RS

1. New Procurement Bill reaches the House of Commons, but progress is taking longer than expected.

The Procurement Bill completed its committee and report stage in the House of Lords and was passed to the House of Commons on 14 December 2022. The current amended version of the bill has been published and can be found here: Procurement Bill The second reading in the Commons took place on 9 January 2023. The Bill's passage is taking longer than expected and it is now anticipated that we will reach royal assent in late 2023 with implementation during the first half of 2024.

Join us on 30 January 2023 at 12:30 at our next webinar when we will discuss the new draft procurement legislation and the impact it will have on us all. To join this FREE Webinar on 30 January 2023 12:30, use this TEAMS link: <u>Click here to join the meeting</u>

2. Government puts a good spin on the benefits of the new Procurement Bill, however the knives are out in the House of Commons and everything could change.

This week the Cabinet Office Government Commercial Function published a report; <u>Benefits for</u> <u>Prospective Suppliers to the Public Sector</u>. The document sets out how the new regime will deliver a range of benefits for suppliers of all sizes wishing to do business and deliver contracts for the public sector. However, the Bill is yet to face the rigour of the Commons and significant amendments are expected. That said if just some of the benefits set out by the Cabinet Office report are realised it will be a significant improvement on the current regime. You have to applaud the ambition.

3. Disclosing details of other bidders: a balancing act of transparency vs commercial interests

Do public bodies need to release the names of parties who took part in a procurement exercise and failed to secure a bid?

Under the Public Contracts Regulations 2015, there is no obligation to disclose details of other bidders beside the specific requirement in the standstill letters, where only details of the successful contractor must be disclosed to the individual unsuccessful bidder. However, could the Freedom of Information override this?

The First-tier Tribunal of the General Regulatory Chamber dismissed an appeal in <u>Greenwood v</u> <u>Information Commissioner</u>, brought under section 57 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000. The court found that there was insufficient evidence to persuade them that on balance it was in the public interest to disclose the withheld information, particularly following evidence that disclosure would or would be likely to adversely affect these companies' commercial interests. The case required a balancing act between the public interest in the Government's handling of the pandemic versus the respondents' notion of protection and safeguarding the anonymity of unsuccessful bidders. The Tribunal found the commercial interest in withholding the information outweighed any public interest and therefore dismissed the appeal.

Page 13 of 14

PROCUREMENT & CONTRACT MANAGEMENT NEWSI ETTER & TECHNICAL BRIEF, IAN 2023

Page 3

4. The 30 day time limit for procurement challenge is clear but when does the clock start ticking?

We see instances when contracting authorities believe the clock starts rigidly when they inform bidders of the outcome, however if you withhold information (intentionally or by error) from unsuccessful bidders this 30 day period could start much later and open a challenge at a future date. The case law is clear; The clock is stated to run from "the date when the Claimant first knew or ought to have known that grounds for starting the proceedings had arisen".

We have been provided with further guidance on when things about which a Claimant "ought to have known" in <u>Siemens Mobility Ltd v High Speed Two (HS2) Ltd</u>. This judgment provides a useful analysis of the case law on this often confusing situation about the 30 day limitation period for procurement claims, and the point at which a Claimant can be said to have acquired or should have acquired enough knowledge to start that limitation clock running. The judgement is worth a read.

5. Oh what a tangled web we weave when we design to run roughshod over the rules

The case of <u>Consultant Connect Limited vNHS Bath</u> is most extraordinary. So many breaches of the regulations all happening during one procurement process is rare. Contracting authorities must comply with both Regulations 18 and 33 of the Public Contracts Regulations 2015 (PCR) when awarding call-off contracts, and in this case they clearly did not.

The judgement finds wide-ranging breaches of the Regulations, including that:

- the Defendants used the framework to effect the direct award of the contract to the Interested Party in breach of the equal treatment requirements under Regulation 18;
- the mini competition did not comply with Regulation 33, because (a) only one bidder was invited to tender; (b) the Defendants had tailored their requirements to that bidder; and (c) the pricing arrangement did not remotely resemble the pricing set out in the framework agreement; and
- two employees had conflicts of interest and, in breach of Regulation 24, no appropriate measures were taken by the Defendants to prevent them from being involved in the procurement.

Further the judge rejected the Defendants' argument that non-parties should be unable to challenge the award of a call-off contract under the relevant framework agreement. On the facts of the case, the Court found that the contract award breached a relevant duty owed to the non-member and the non-member suffered, or risked suffering, loss in consequence.

The judge was clearly not happy and he made a contract shortening order, the first of its kind in the UK, ordered the Defendant to pay civil financial penalties and further found that the Defendant's breaches were sufficiently serious to award damages.



Page 14 of 14

PROCUREMENT & CONTRACT MANAGEMENT NEWSI FTTER & TECHNICAL BRIEF, IAN 2023

Page 4

6. Up coming webinars and training courses

Training

The next Public Procurement & Contract Management Training days are open for bookings. Please write to <u>walter.akers@rsmuk.com</u> to book.

- Beginners Guide to Public Procurement (Level 1) Friday 10 March 2023 (9:30 15:45) Cost £240 plus VAT per attendee.
- Introduction to contract Management (Level 1) Wednesday 15 March 2023 (9:30 15:45) Cost £240 plus VAT per attendee.
- Guide to completing FTS Notices Friday 24 March 2023 (9:30 12:45) Cost £180 plus VAT per attendee.
- How to Undertake Compliant Tender Evaluations Friday 28 April 2023 (9.30 -15.45) Cost £240 plus VAT per attendee.

Webinar 30 January 2023

The next FREE Webinar is on 30 January 2023 from 12:30 to 13:15. During this Webinar we will be providing an update on the new UK procurement bill. To join this FREE Webinar on 30 January 2023 12:30, use this TEAMS link: <u>Click here to join the meeting</u>

Walter Akers Partner RSM UK Risk Assurance Services LLP walter.akers@rsmuk.com +447561327662

The information contained herein is of a general nature and is not intended to address the circumstances of any particular individual or entity. Although care has been taken to ensure the content accurate and timely, there can be no guarantee that such information is accurate as of the date it is received or that it will continue to be accurate in the future. RSM therefore accepts no liability for loss of any kind incurred as a result of reliance on the information or opinions provided in this presentation. No one should act on such information without appropriate professional advice after a thorough examination of the particular situation.

The UK group of companies and LLPs trading as RSM is a member of the RSM network. RSM is the trading name used by the members of the RSM network. Each member of the RSM network is an independent accounting and consulting firm each of which practises in its own right. The RSM network is not itself a separate legal entity of any description in any jurisdiction. The RSM network is administered by RSM International Limited, a company registered in England and Wales (company number 4040598) whose registered office is at 50 Cannon Street, London EC4N GJJ. The brand and trademark RSM and other intellectual property rights used by members of the network are owned by RSM International Association, an association governed by article 60 et seq of the Civil Code of Switzerland whose seat is in Zug.

RSM UK Corporate Finance LLP, RSM UK Restructuring Advisory LLP, RSM UK Risk Assurance Services LLP, RSM UK Tax and Advisory Services LLP, RSM UK Audit LLP, RSM UK Consulting LLP, RSM Northern Ireland (UK) Limited and RSM UK Tax and Accounting Limited are not authorised under the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 but we are able in certain circumstances to offer a limited range of investment services because we are licensed by the Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales. We can provide these investment services if they are an incidental part of the professional services we have been engaged to provide. RSM UK LLP is authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority, reference number 626317, to undertake reserved and non-reserved legal activities. It is not authorised under the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 but is able in certain circumstances to offer a limited range of investment services if they are an incidental part of the professional services were are locensed by the Solicitors Regulation Authority and may provide investment services if they are an incidental part of the professional services were are locensed by the Solicitors Regulation Authority and may provide investment services if they are an incidental part of the professional services were are locensed to grave and to ensure accuracy, information contained in this communication may not be comprehensive and recipients should not act upon it without seeking professional advice.



© 2023 RSM UK Group LLP, all rights reserved