CAMBRIDGESHIRE & PETERBOROUGH COMBINED AUTHORITY OVERVIEW & SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

Review Topic (Name of review):

Combined Authority Devolution Deal Commitments

Relevant links/decisions/FP reference:

• The Devolution Deal

Terms of Reference of the Review:

- To review actions taken by the various parties to the Cambridgeshire & Peterborough Devolution Deal to meet its 71 commitments, and plans to meet outstanding commitments.
- To consider the potential to refresh or update the Devolution Deal.

Lead Member:

• Cllr Lorna Dupré (Chair)

Task and Finish Group membership (where appropriate):

None

Review type (Task & Finish, Full Committee etc.):

• Rapporteur investigation (periodic)

Key Officers:

- Paul Raynes, Director of Delivery & Strategy
- Head of Programme Management Office—to be appointed (from January 2022)

Combined Authority Portfolio Holder(s) (where appropriate):

Mayor Nik Johnson

Timescales:

- Monday 22 November 2021: scoping document to Overview & Scrutiny Committee
- Monday 13 December 2021: initial report to Overview & Scrutiny Committee

Rationale for the Review:

• To support the Combined Authority in monitoring the implementation of the commitments made by the various parties to the Cambridgeshire & Peterborough Devolution Deal; and to consider the need for future updates.

Scope of the Review (in scope, outside scope etc.):

In scope:

• The 71 commitments included in the Cambridgeshire & Peterborough Devolution Deal

Outside scope:

• Commitments and projects not included in the original Devolution Deal

Key Lines of Enquiry (specify the key lines of enquiry that will underpin the initial planning of the review questions):

What primary/new evidence is needed for the scrutiny review? (identify what information is required to take the review forward, and what information is not already available):

- How have the '71 commitments' been derived from the Devolution Deal?
- Do HMG and the Combined Authority feel equal ownership of, and commitment to, them?
- To what extent do the commitments build a convincing strategic narrative about the vision for the Combined Authority and its contribution to the area it serves?
- How auditable are the commitments?
- How can the Combined Authority more effectively scrutinise its own performance in respect of the commitments?
- What are the consequences of failure to achieve commitments in the Deal?
 - \rightarrow For HMG?
 - → For the Combined Authority and its constituent members?
- Which commitments will require additional resources over and above the £100M in the deal, and how will those resources be secured?
- What is the potential for a refresh of the Devolution Deal, and what might the Combined Authority want to see included in such a refresh?
- What are the priorities and intentions of the new Mayor in respect of the 71 commitments?

What secondary/existing information will be needed? (identify background information, performance indicators, complaints, existing reports, legislation, central government information and reports etc.):

- The Devolution Deal
- Subsequent update reports to the Board on the progress of the 71 commitments
- Gateway review documentation and other papers from relevant discussions between the Combined Authority and Government

What briefings and site visits will be relevant to the review?

- Meeting with Paul Raynes, Director of Delivery & Strategy (Thursday 11 November 2021)
- Further meeting with Paul Raynes (date and time TBA)

Who are the witnesses who should be invited to provide evidence for the review?

- To be invited to Overview & Scrutiny Committee January 2022
 - → Mayor Nik Johnson and Chief Executive of the Combined Authority
 - → Civil servants responsible for upholding commitments owned by Government TBA
 - → Council officers responsible for upholding commitments owned by member authorities

<u>Implications of reviewing the topic, have the following matters been taken into consideration in the planning of this review:</u>

Legal implications YES
 Financial implications YES
 Equality and diversity issues YES
 Environmental implications YES

What resources are required for this review

None

What are the indicators of success?

What overview and scrutiny role is the committee performing in this case?

- Making recommendations to the Board on implementation of the 71 commitments
- Considering the potential for future refreshes of the Devolution Deal

What factors would tell you what a good review should look like in this case?

- Greater understanding of the role of the Devolution Deal in shaping the strategy of the Combined Authority
- Clearer reporting on progress against the 71 commitments

What are the potential outcomes of the review e.g. service improvements, policy change, etc

- Greater likelihood of achieving the 71 commitments
- Shared understanding of the intended outcomes from the 71 commitments and their contribution to the strategy of the Combined Authority

What value is being added by undertaking the review?

- Challenge
- Focus
- Fresh perspective